

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,442	09/19/2003	Kendra J. Gallup	10030566-1	8324
57299	7590 02/14/2006		EXAMINER	
AVAGO TECHNOLOGIES, LTD.			JACKSON JR, JEROME	
P.O. BOX 19	· - ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DENVER, O	CO 80201-1920			PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ale

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/666,442	GALLUP ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2005.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
· ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) <u>1.7 and 16</u> is/are objected to.					
8)						
Applicati	on Papers					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite atent Application (PTO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	(10 102)			

Application/Control Number: 10/666,442

Art Unit: 2815

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Mueller-Fiedler '142, of record.

Claim 1 is rejected as Mueller shows a substrate T2 or T3, a lens Li above the substrate, and a laser LD above the lens and substrate. Basically just picture the assembly of Mueller-Fiedler rotated 180 degrees or equivalently turned upside down in orientation. Claim 1 is broad and structurally undistinguishing over '142. Claim 2 is rejected as T2 and T3 can be glass or silicon transparent to the emitted light. Claim 3 is rejected as Mueller teaches a "lens can advantageously be a Fresnel lens, fitted in a planar manner", and also teaches "conductor tracks Lb to make contact with the laser diode LD and for further optical or optoelectronic components which are mounted in the upper side of the carrier T1". Claim 4 is obvious as glass is an ordinary planarization material in the art and used as T2. In regard to claim 5 note the electrical connections to the laser diode LD. Claim 6 is obvious as it would have been obvious to hermetically seal T2 to T1 with a sealing ring to keep out moisture or other contaminants. Claim 7 is rejected as the monitor diode is an active integrated circuit and any wiring to any of the devices in '142 can be considered resistors, inductors or capacitors of a "passive" integrated circuit. The claims are broad.

Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2815

The applied art does not teach or fairly suggest "plugs" as bonding wires are practiced.

Applicant's arguments filed 12/12/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Arguments regarding "above" or "below" are unconvincing of patentability as shown above. T1, T2, or T3 are all substrates for the laser, lens, and interconnections. Applicant is too narrowly construing his claims where the claim language enables a broader interpretation.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

Art Unit: 2815

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571-272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jj

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER